

Advertising material for professional investors only, investing for their own account – according to MiFID definition.
Any investment decision in the sub-funds should be made on the basis of the current prospectus and the Key Investor Information Document (KIID).

ESG Report

Nordea 1 – Global Stars Equity Fund
Second quarter 2022



Overview

Sustainability footprint	3
Overview of ESG characteristics	4
ESG overview	5
Environmental, social and governance indicators	6
Contribution to SDGs	7
Sustainability score details	8
Active ownership	9
Engagement cases	10
Appendix	A1–A7

Sustainability footprint

The sustainability footprint of EUR 100,000 invested in

Nordea 1 – Global Stars Equity Fund

LU0985320562 (BP-USD) / LU0985319804 (BI-USD)


For illustrative purposes only

■ Nordea 1 – Global Stars Equity Fund

■ Illustrative Benchmark: NASDAQ Global Large Mid Cap Index

CO₂ emissions¹ **4.8 t**

Most of your savings are invested in companies that on average emit less CO₂ compared to their peers.



5.4 tonnes less than illustrative benchmark

1) Measured as CO₂-equivalent greenhouse gas emissions


3.6



The equivalent of annual CO₂ emission from 3.6 cars

Underground oil, gas and coal reserves **0.0 t**

None of the companies in your portfolio own fossil fuel reserves, neither oil, coal nor gas.



190.6 tonnes less than illustrative benchmark




272x
CPH/BKK

Equal to 272 Copenhagen-Bangkok flights

Waste generation **0.1 t**

Most of your savings are invested in companies that on average produce less waste than their peers.



1.1 tonnes less than illustrative benchmark


107



Equal to approx. 107 waste bags avoided

Water usage **206 m³**

Most of your savings are invested in companies that on average consume less water than their peers.



570 m³ less than illustrative benchmark



4.0

The equivalent of the water used by 4.0 households

This sustainability footprint overview is prepared by NASDAQ based on analysis of Nordea 1 – Global Stars Equity Fund's holdings as of 30.06.2022. The analysis is based the equity investments in the fund and the holdings are compared to the NASDAQ Global Large Mid Cap Index, a broad market index used as benchmark for illustrative purposes only and which does not correspond to the official benchmark of the fund. The calculations are based on an example investment of 100,000 EUR, of which ca. 98% is invested in equities. For illustration purposes only. This overview does not constitute investment advice. Please note that the value of investments can go up as well as down and you might not get back the amount originally invested. Data & metrics are powered by Matter.

Overview of ESG characteristics

Corporate level ESG overlays of Nordea Asset Management

NAM’s Responsible Investment Framework comprises a wide range of RI approaches. Some are decided and deployed at the corporate level – “overlays” – while others are product-specific and apply to solutions with a stronger ESG focus. The corporate “overlays” apply to all funds managed by NAM.

Active ownership

Corporate-level exclusion list

Norms-based screening

ESG integration

Corporate level PAI

All of our funds are subject to minimum sustainability-related eligibility criteria. For example, we do not invest in companies involved in the production of controversial, illegal or nuclear weapons. Nor do we invest in companies with large and sustained exposure to coal mining, with a 10% revenue threshold on thermal coal, and a 30% revenue threshold on total coal (including metallurgical coal). Similarly, we exclude companies with large and sustained exposure to oil and gas extraction through oil sand with 10%, and arctic drilling with 5% revenue threshold.

Fund specific ESG characteristics

Industry exclusions

While engagement is always NAM’s preferred approach, we have made a decision to exclude certain industries from our ESG STARS range.

 Adult entertainment*	 Alcohol*
 Gambling*	 Tobacco*
 Coal mining ^{2*}	 Conventional ³ oil & gas/ unconventional ⁴ oil & gas
 Controversial weapons ⁵	 Military equipment*
 Nuclear weapons ⁶	

* 5% revenue threshold on production

SFDR classification: Article 8

The fund is categorised as an Article 8 product based on Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation (SFDR) and adheres to the below characteristics:

- Active Ownership and Engagement
- Enhanced exclusion filters and other limits
- ESG STARS strategy
- Exclusion list
- Norms-based Screening
- Paris Aligned Fossil Fuel Policy (PAFF)
- Principal Adverse Impact (PAI) integration

ESG labels⁷




Find out more about RI at Nordea Asset Management:

- » [RI Policy](#)
- » [Paris Aligned Fossil Fuel Policy \(PAFF\)](#)
- » [Nordea’s Exclusion list](#)

2) By coal is meant the extraction of both metallurgical and thermal coal. 3) 5% revenue threshold or alignment with the Paris Agreement as per the PAFF. Refers to oil & gas exploration and production companies, as well as integrated oil & gas companies (BICS classification 135 and 136). 4) 0% revenue threshold on oil sands, shale oil/gas, hydraulic fracturing, and Arctic drilling. 5) 0% revenue threshold. Controversial weapons include anti-personnel mines, cluster munitions, depleted uranium, biological/chemical weapons, incendiary devices. 6) 0% revenue threshold. Production and development of nuclear weapons. 7) Towards Sustainability recognises the Nordea 1 – Global Stars Equity Fund, validity Jul. 2022 – Jun. 2023. Label ISR recognises the Nordea 1 – Global Stars Equity Fund, validity Oct. 2019 – Oct. 2022. For more information on sustainability-related aspects of the fund, please visit nordea.lu/legal.

ESG overview


ESG rating⁸




Please note that the MSCI ESG rating is mainly backward looking, relying mainly on publicly available information and can differ from Nordea’s internal ESG scoring which is based on a forward-looking approach.

The ESG Rating assesses the resilience of a fund’s aggregate holdings to long-term ESG risks. Highly rated funds consist of issuers with leading or improving management of key ESG risks.


ESG Rating breakdown⁸



ESG scores by pillar⁸



ESG risk exposure⁸



8) ©2022 MSCI ESG Research LLC. Reproduced by permission.

ESG indicators

Environmental indicators

■ Nordea 1 – Global Stars Equity Fund ■ Benchmark⁹

122 t



0.0%



0.2%



170 t



6.9%



3.0%



Weighted Average Carbon Intensity (tCO₂e/USD million)

The WACI measures a portfolio's exposure to carbon intensive companies.

Exposure to high impact fossil fuel reserves

The percentage of portfolio's market value exposed to companies that own high impact fossil fuel reserves.

Exposure to environmental controversies

The percentage of portfolio's market value exposed to companies facing one or more very severe environmental controversies.

Social indicators

0.0%



1.6%



16.8%



0.7%



5.2%



19.0%



Exposure to human rights norms violation

The percentage of portfolio's market value exposed to companies in violation of international norms around human rights.

Exposure to human rights controversies

The percentage of portfolio's market value exposed to companies facing one or more very severe human rights and community controversies.

Exposure to labour controversies

The percentage of portfolio's market value exposed to companies facing one or more very severe labour controversies.

Governance indicators

0.0%



92.6%



4.9%



2.3%



88.5%



7.6%



Exposure to companies with no female directors

The percentage of portfolio's market value exposed to companies with no female directors.

Exposure to companies with a majority of independent board members

The percentage of portfolio's market value exposed to companies with board independence above 50%.

Exposure to governance controversies


The percentage of portfolio's market value exposed to companies facing one or more severe or very severe governance controversies.

9) As measured by the iShares MSCI ACWI ETF. Source: MSCI ESG Research LLC, as of 31.05.2022. ©2022 MSCI ESG Research LLC. Reproduced by permission. Portfolio coverage 99.76%. Weighted Average Carbon Intensity based on MSCI All Country World - Net Return Index as of 30.06.2022. Scope 1 & 2. For further information on scope 1&2 please refer to the "Methodology – Sustainability footprint" section in the appendices of this report. This metric relies on carbon data gathered by Nordea Investment Funds S.A., MSCI Inc. and is based on the Swedish Fund Association's recommendation. Further information on the calculation approach is available at: nordea.lu/documents/esg---carbon-footprint-disclosure/ESG-CFD_eng_INT.pdf/. Source: Nordea Investment Funds S.A., MSCI Inc.

Contribution to SDGs

Total sustainability score (vs benchmark)


The chart below shows the contribution of the portfolio holdings products and services to both environment and social considerations compared to companies held in the benchmark. The contribution of the portfolio to the 15 objectives (social and environmental) is 2.2x higher than the benchmark.



The relative score is calculated as follow: (Portfolio net score)/(Benchmark net score). Should the score of the benchmark be close to zero the relative score multiple might be distorted. Comparison with other financial products or benchmarks is only meant for indicative purposes.

Exposure to the 7 social objectives¹⁰

The contribution of the portfolio to the 7 social objectives is 1.6x higher than the benchmark.



Comparison with other financial products or benchmarks is only meant for indicative purposes.

Top 3 social objectives

1. Ensuring health
2. Providing basic services
3. Delivering education

Top contributors


1. Merck (US, Health Care)
2. Abbvie (US, Health Care)
3. Stryker (US, Health Care)

Notes

Benchmark	MSCI ACWI Index (Net Return)
Portfolio coverage	97%
Benchmark coverage	99%
Portfolio holdings	84

Exposure to the 8 environmental objectives¹⁰

The contribution of the portfolio to the 8 environmental objectives is higher than the benchmark.




Comparison with other financial products or benchmarks is only meant for indicative purposes.

Top 3 environmental objectives

1. Mitigating climate change
2. Contributing to sustainable energy use
3. Achieving sustainable agriculture and forestry

¹⁰ Please note that the total sustainability score is the sum of the social and environmental scores and may slightly differ due to rounding differences. Further information on SDGs is available at www.un.org/development/desa/en/news/sustainable/sustainable-development-goals.html. Sources: Nordea Investment Funds S.A., ISS-ESG, United Nations Sustainable Development Goals.

Sustainability score details



Nordea 1 – Global Stars Equity Fund MSCI ACWI Index (Net Return)

Comparison with other financial products or benchmarks is only meant for indicative purposes. The total sustainability score (E+S) represents the Overall SDG Solutions Score as defined by ISS-ESG. This score is based on the Social SDG Solutions Score (S) and the Environmental SDG Solutions Score (E). Please note that the Overall SDG Solutions score may slightly differ from the aggregated figures of the social and environmental scores due to rounding differences. Further information on SDGs is available at www.un.org/development/desa/en/news/sustainable/sustainable-development-goals.html. Sources: Nordea Investment Funds S.A., ISS-ESG, United Nations Sustainable Development Goals.

Active ownership

The aim of this section is to describe some of the voting and engagement activities over the last quarter for this specific fund. This tool, therefore, is not meant to be fully comprehensive, but to allow investors to follow-up on the fund’s relevant active ownership activities.

By adhering to Nordea’s responsible investment policy, the fund excludes companies breaching international norms or involved in sectors we do not consider acceptable. However, excluding a company from our portfolios is always a last resort. Engagement is always our preferred approach and of paramount importance to NAM. We believe that active ownership is a powerful way to protect shareholder value, enhance long-term returns and foster positive change. Our active ownership efforts begin with voting on our holdings, attending Annual General Meetings (AGMs) and representation on nomination committees. Our publicly available Voting Portal shows how we have voted in AGMs for stocks held across our funds. Access to the Voting Portal as well as our Corporate Governance Principles can be found [here](#).



Engagement is the next step of being an active owner and is a crucial component of our RI philosophy and framework. Our engagement activities fall into one

or more of three different categories. The first type addresses companies that are in breach of international norms or conventions or those involved in ESG-related incidents. The second type relates to ESG-related risks or opportunities identified by portfolio managers and financial analysts via our company assessments. The third and final stream concerns our thematic engagements.

Engagement categories:

- **Norms- and incident-based engagement:**
engaging with companies breaching the international norms or conventions or companies having ESG related incidents
- **Investment-led engagement:**
engaging with companies on their material ESG risks
- **Thematic engagement:**
engaging on specific sustainability themes in focus

Voting



Engagement cases

Wells Fargo & Company

Nordea ESG scoring ¹¹	Proxy Voting ¹²	SDG Engagement	Engagement topic
B	✓	10 Reduced inequalities	Governance & Ethics

Overview

Wells Fargo is a financial services company situated across America providing a diversified set of banking services and products. Wells Fargo has recently come under investigation after being accused of conducting fake interviews in an attempt to show good diversity numbers on paper in the US. Therefore, Nordea decided to engage to build further understanding on how Wells Fargo has responded to the accusation.

Background

Prior to 2016, Wells Fargo was entangled in controversy wherein its employees were accused of creating more than three million false accounts in order to meet sales targets. Consequently, the company is currently operating under a USD 1.95tn asset cap. Furthermore, the company has had several consent orders over recent years, albeit 3 have been lifted within the latest two years.

Consequently, the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, the U.S. Department of Justice, and several states' attorney generals have ongoing investigations towards Wells Fargo, as well as lawsuits by employees, investors, and customers.

However, in line with the recently lifted consent orders, we have observed a general positive momentum over recent years. Notably, the Board has been overhauled in 2017 in response to the 2016 sales practice scandal, as well as a new CEO in 2019. In addition, Wells Fargo has split three business groups into five and created four new Enterprise Functions to enable greater oversight and transparency.

However, a risk remains that the ongoing fraudulent accusations and negative media may slow the process on lifting the remaining consent orders, specifically on the 'asset cap'.

The Engagement

Due to historical controversies, we made effort to reach out to Wells Fargo shortly after the first article regarding 'fake interviews' were released. Our engagement focused on Wells Fargo's stance on the current accusations, as well as what actions they have taken regarding the matter.

Outcome

Wells Fargo has stated the following in response to the 'fake interviews' accusations:

- The 'diverse slate guidelines' (DEI policy) have been paused whilst they do a thorough internal firm-wide investigation.
- The company states that they have very ambitious diversity goals, higher than their peers, which may have led to individuals cutting corners to meet the diversity targets.
- Despite the 'diverse slate guidelines' being paused, executive level teams still have diversity linked to their compensation meaning this is still a very important topic that will continue being in focus.
- The company believes this is a departmental problem rather than systematic. Nevertheless, appropriate resources have been allocated to better understand how this has occurred and what they need to do mitigate.

- Despite the current controversy, Wells Fargo has improved on diversity KPIs in the past 2 years.

Following our engagement regarding the 'fake interviews' accusations, we have observed adequate responses by Wells Fargo considering the circumstances. However, we are well aware that Wells Fargo has historically been entwined with ethical controversies and will monitor this case diligently.

Further follow-ups with Wells Fargo are expected in August. The follow-up engagement is to further understand the current progress on lifting consent orders as well as follow-up on the 'fake interview' investigation.

11) Current scoring, based on Nordea proprietary ESG model. 12) Proxy voting refers to the last 12 month-period. Generally, we vote in annual reports and most of the Annual General Meetings (AGM) which occurs once a year. If there is no vote, it is generally because the fund was not invested at the time when the AGM took place. Please find out more on nordea.com/sustainability or access directly the [voting portal](#).

Activision Blizzard

Nordea ESG scoring ¹¹	Proxy Voting ¹²	SDG Engagement	Engagement topic
B	✓	<div style="display: flex; flex-direction: column; gap: 5px;"> <div style="background-color: #e91e63; color: white; padding: 2px 5px; display: inline-block;">5</div> Gender equality </div> <div style="display: flex; flex-direction: column; gap: 5px;"> <div style="background-color: #2e7d32; color: white; padding: 2px 5px; display: inline-block;">3</div> Good health and well-being </div>	Discrimination, Workforce Diversity and Transparency Responsible gaming

Overview

Activision Blizzard is a developer and publisher of interactive entertainment content and services. The company develops and distributes content and services across various gaming platforms, including video game consoles, personal computers (PC) and mobile devices. Nordea has taken the opportunity to engage on the back of recent controversy regarding corporate culture issues.

Background

We have significant concerns related to Activision Blizzard’s Discrimination & Workforce Diversity issues. In addition, Activision Blizzard has been involved in recent controversies related to Governance and labour rights.

In July 2021, Activision Blizzard had a lawsuit filed against them by the Department of Fair Employment and Housing (DFEH) in California, USA. This was due to allegations of female employees being subjected to sexual harassment. The details of the lawsuit suggested that female workers, in some instances, had to fend off groping by male executives and employees in a regular event titled ‘cube crawls’. Other claims were made such as one female employee had committed suicide as result of allegedly being sexually harassed by her male manager.

The Engagement

In essence, our engagement was intended to further understand how Activision Blizzard has taken action in response to recent controversies regarding corporate culture issues (discrimination and harassment). In

particular, we wished to gain insights on how they are progressing on reporting, what targets they are committed to (e.g. compensation linked ESG metrics) and what impact the Microsoft acquisition is having on their sustainability work.

Outcome

Activision Blizzard signals that they are looking into fixing the culture issues with 4 focus themes:

1. Safe and inclusive working environment, having a target of 50% female employees, new rules on harassment policy, and increased disclosure.
2. Stronger governance with a new board committee composed of 3 female directors to drive diversity and inclusion (workplace responsibility committee) and a recently appointed Chief Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Officer.
3. CEO compensation package reduced to the lowest quartile and no variable pay until progress on the corporate culture are confirmed.
4. The acquisition deal from Microsoft is not getting in the way of the above mentioned initiatives.

The company has added that in addition to the social-focused ESG report they produce, they are considering TCFD and CDP reporting. However, even though the transparency work is improving, we have some concerns on how the company will actually fix the culture issue before the acquisition and how this will be reported should the deal go through. We will continue to

stay vigilant and closely monitor how the reporting and transparency around whistle-blower issues and ESG linked compensation develops.

In addition, Loot boxes and microtransactions were discussed. The company states they have little revenue exposure to ‘random mechanisms’ (game of chance) compared to ‘passes’ (transparency on items you pay for), however, the company did not disclose precise sales per player figures. They plan to keep using microtransactions where it makes sense but follow the players’ demand on not having to pay for random items. The company may have little exposure to random mechanisms compared to peers, but would still be in scope for potential stricter regulation on gambling-linked microtransactions such as loot boxes. In extenso, the company does consider some responsible gaming factors, but to a limited extent: age rating, age justification (via card payment) timers to take a break, spending balance and spikes.

We continue to pay close attention to the output of Activision Blizzards controversies as well as their development and improvement on transparency regarding ESG issues.

11) Current scoring, based on Nordea proprietary ESG model. 12) Proxy voting refers to the last 12 month-period. Generally, we vote in annual reports and most of the Annual General Meetings (AGM) which occurs once a year. If there is no vote, it is generally because the fund was not invested at the time when the AGM took place. Please find out more on nordea.com/sustainability or access directly the [voting portal](#).

Air Liquide

Nordea ESG scoring ¹¹	Proxy Voting ¹²	SDG Engagement	Engagement topic
B+	✓	9 Industry, innovation and infrastructure	Environment - Climate, SBTi

Overview

Air Liquide is a France-based company producing solutions and services based on gases and essential molecules. Some of its solutions improve energy and industrial efficiency by use of application.

Background

The industrial sector is responsible for 23% of global energy-related CO2 emissions (IEA 2021) which stresses the need for increased efficiency in the sector. Air Liquide tends to score rather badly in conventional carbon foot-printing tools and its status as a heavy emitter has historically tended to penalise it with ESG research and data providers. This has been a long-standing detriment for both the company and its investors.

The Engagement

Air Liquide’s climate track record as well as its emissions reductions need to be considered in a holistic perspective that takes the entire value chain of emissions into account. ESG research providers have failed to grasp Air Liquide’s place in the value chain.

Due to the company’s important role in the transitioning of the economy to net zero, we earlier in 2022, decided to increase our engagement with the company by stepping into a co-lead role within the Climate Action 100+ (CA100+) initiative. During the second quarter of 2022, we have had several calls with the company to better understand their emission target setting and encourage the company to further enhance its commitments and

practices. We have specifically discussed the company’s strategic direction of travel, the current state of its Science Based Target (SBTi) approval and how achieving its climate commitments will impact its return profile.

Outcome

Overall, the company has been receptive to our feedback and we believe that its climate performance could be further improved through engagement on aligning its capital allocation and the decarbonisation of its asset base. The company has stressed that the sustainability of its operations and business model is now intrinsically related to profitability, as carbon emissions are turning into a major cost for big emitters. In our conversation, the CEO of the company was confident that there is no need to compromise the return profile to achieve positive, real-world change. The company is currently facing high demand and is able to choose projects where it can create synergies and economies of scale.

With regards to its Science Based Target, we are pleased to note that Air Liquide’s short-term targets (2035) for Scope 1 and 2 emissions was approved in Q2 2022. However, Scope 3 and avoided emissions remain to be important metrics which currently do not follow standard accounting practices. The company informed us that it is in close conversation with SBTi and its members on finalizing the development of the relevant accounting practices for Scope 3 carbon emissions in the chemical sector. It has indicated that a standardized definition of ‘avoided emissions’ would be required near term to prevent greenwashing and potentially

be accounted for as high quality carbon removals in the SBTi framework for corporate net-zero target setting.

Further, we are pleased to note that the company, in its response to the CA100+ lead engagement group’s questions during the 2022 AGM, confirmed its commitment to a Paris Agreement-aligned climate lobbying position and that the company will now carry out and publish a review of its trade associations’ climate positions/alignment with the Paris Agreement.

Finally, we would like to acknowledge that the Head of Sustainability of Air Liquide recognizes that the complexity of its business model results in a need for more explanations on the assumptions underlying its climate risk disclosure and consider further work in that direction in the next Universal Registration Document.

11) Current scoring, based on Nordea proprietary ESG model. 12) Proxy voting refers to the last 12 month-period. Generally, we vote in annual reports and most of the Annual General Meetings (AGM) which occurs once a year. If there is no vote, it is generally because the fund was not invested at the time when the AGM took place. Please find out more on nordea.com/sustainability or access directly the [voting portal](#).

Appendix

Methodology – Sustainability footprint

CO₂e emissions

Shows annual greenhouse gas emissions produced directly by the companies in the underlying funds and from their consumption of energy (Scope 1+2).

This metric is calculated by relating the annual greenhouse gas emissions (in tonnes, translated into CO₂ equivalents) of the underlying companies to the weight of those companies (equity exposure) in the fund/portfolio and to the size of the invested amount. The comparison with the car emissions is based on data from EEA/ICCT on average emissions for new cars sold in EU in 2016.

Why do we measure the CO₂e emissions footprint:

While it is good to turn lights off to save energy, 20 companies have alone contributed to 35% of all energy-related carbon dioxide and methane worldwide, totalling 480bn tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalents (GtCO₂e) since 1965. (Source: The Guardian)

Fossil reserves

Shows the future potential CO₂e emissions if oil, gas and coal reserves owned by the companies in the underlying funds are extracted from the ground and burned.

This metric is calculated by relating the proven and probable resources of oil, gas and coal that the underlying companies have disclosed, to the weight of those companies (equity exposure) in the fund/portfolio and to the size of the invested amount. Please note that the calculation considers the lifetime CO₂e emissions (in tonnes) associated with these reserves. The comparison with number of flights is based on calculations from ICAO.

Why do we measure the fossil reserves footprint:

While the business of extracting oil, gas and coal from the ground emits large amounts of CO₂, an even larger amount is emitted when the resources are used as fuel. Extraction companies can therefore potentially cause future emissions by extracting reserves.

What are CO₂ equivalents:

Different greenhouse gasses that contribute differently to global warming. According to the GHG Protocol, they are all converted into one measure, CO₂ equivalents:

Greenhouse gas	CO ₂ equivalent
Carbon Dioxide	1
Sulphur Hexafluoride	22.8
Methane	25
Nitrus Oxide	298
Hydro Fluoro Carbons	2.400
Per Fluoro Carbons	7.850

What are Scope 1, 2 and 3 CO₂ emissions:

- Scope 1 are direct emissions from owned or controlled sources
- Scope 2 are indirect emissions from the generation of purchased energy
- Scope 3 are all indirect emissions that occur in the value chain of the reporting company, upstream and downstream

For instance, a car manufacturer: The car manufacturer emits CO₂e when assembling cars (Scope 1). The manufacturer's suppliers emit CO₂e to generate electricity for the manufacturer production of electricity (Scope 2). A rental car provider operates the cars and emits CO₂e over the product's lifetime (Scope 3).

Waste generation

Shows how much waste the companies in the underlying funds produce annually, that is either incinerated or disposed to landfill.

This metric is calculated by relating the annual waste generation (in tonnes) of the underlying companies to the weight of those companies (equity exposure) in the fund/portfolio as well as the size of the invested amount. The comparison with number of waste bags considers that an average waste bag has 7kg and is based on data sourced from EU and Plast.dk.

Why do we measure the waste generation footprint:

With the current pace of plastic waste ending up in the oceans (equal to one garbage truck dumped in the oceans every minute), it is projected that by 2050, the total amount of plastic waste in the oceans will weigh more than all fish, and 99% of seabirds will have ingested plastics. Hence there is an urgent need to limit the waste. (Source: WWF)

Water usage

Shows how much water the companies in the underlying funds directly use or purchase annually.

This metric is calculated by relating the annual water use (in cubic meters) of the underlying companies to the weight of those companies (equity exposure) in the fund/portfolio and to the size of the invested amount. The comparison with household usage is based on average European household water consumption data from Eurostat.

Why do we measure the water usage footprint:

The fashion industry is the third largest annual user of water globally after oil and paper, responsible for more than 10% of the water used by all types of industry. Depending on materials and production processes, fashion companies can reduce their water footprint. (Source: Common Objective/WWF)

Disclaimer

Sustainability information

The information Nordea Investment Funds S.A. is providing to you as part of their services on specific legal entities' sustainability (the "Sustainability footprint") is based on third party information provided to or obtained by Matter from either publicly available sources on sovereign topics, third-party analysis or as third-party evaluation on corporate topics.

Nature of the Sustainability footprint

The Sustainability footprint does not constitute or form part of any offer or invitation to sell or issue, or any solicitation of any offer to purchase or subscribe for, any securities or other financial instruments in the legal entities to which the Sustainability footprint is provided on, nor shall it or any part of it be relied on in connection with any contract commitment or investment decision in relation thereto. Wording in the Sustainability footprint, including titles of the flags used are only intended for the purpose of providing an impression of the legal entities' compliance with ESG factors chosen by Matter and do not serve as a proof or detailed description of any of the issues described.

The Sustainability footprint shall not be seen as an analysis of the legal entities general performance economically/financially or in relation to the sector of industry, in which the legal entities are operating. Nothing in the Sustainability footprint constitutes or should be considered as constituting a promise or a guarantee concerning any future developments, events, figures, etc. Nordea Investment Funds S.A. assumes no obligation to provide additional information or to update Sustainability footprint or correct inaccuracies in Sustainability footprint, unless when explicitly agreed.

Limitation of liability

No representation or warranty, express or implied, is given by Nordea Investment Funds S.A. or any affiliates or directors, officers or any other person as to the fairness, accuracy or completeness of the Sustainability footprint and no liability whatsoever for any loss howsoever arising from any use of the Sustainability footprint is accepted by Nordea Investment Funds S.A. or any affiliates or directors, officers or any other person.

Reliance

The Sustainability footprint is provided solely to you. The provision of the Sustainability footprint to you does not impose any obligation on Nordea Investment Funds S.A. to allow any other than you to rely on Sustainability information, unless explicitly agreed.

Methodology – ESG overview

ESG rating

The ESG rating assesses the resilience of a fund's aggregate holdings to long-term ESG risks. Highly rated funds consist of issuers with leading or improving management of key ESG risks. Data provided by MSCI ESG Research LLC.

ESG rating breakdown

The percentage of portfolio's market value exposed to ESG leaders (best in class companies, rated AAA or AA), average ESG performers (rated A to BB), and ESG laggards (worst in class companies, rated B or CCC) relative to the fund's benchmark. Data provided by MSCI ESG Research LLC.

Portfolio ESG scores per pillar

The environment score represents the weighted average of all Key Issues that fall under the Environment pillar. The social score represents the weighted average of all Key Issues that fall under the social pillar. Starting with a "10", the governance score is based on the sum of deductions derived from key metrics included in the corporate governance (including ownership & control, board, pay and accounting) and corporate behavior (including business ethics and tax transparency) themes. The individual pillars of the ESG scores do not add up to the aggregated Fund ESG score due to adjustment factor which takes ESG trends into account during the aggregation process. For further details, please refer to official MSCI ESG Fund Ratings methodology document. Data provided by MSCI ESG Research LLC.

ESG risk exposure

The percentage of portfolio's market value exposed to environmental, social and governance key issues. Data provided by MSCI ESG Research LLC.

Environmental characteristics

Weighted average carbon intensity (WACI):

The WACI measures a portfolio's exposure to carbon intensive companies. Since companies with higher carbon intensity are likely to face more exposure to carbon related market and regulatory risks, this metric can serve as a proxy for a portfolio's exposure to potential climate change-related risks relative to other portfolios or relative to a benchmark.

Calculating a portfolio's WACI is achieved by calculating the carbon intensity (Scope 1 + 2 Emissions / \$M Sales) for each portfolio company and calculating the weighted average by portfolio weight. Unlike the portfolio carbon intensity, carbon emissions are apportioned based on portfolio weights / exposure, rather than the investor's ownership share of emissions or sales. This measure is in line with the EU's non-financial reporting directive and TCFD (Task force for climate-related financial disclosure) recommendations.

Emissions and sales values for equities is sourced from MSCI, and for bonds from ISS-ESG.

Exposure to high impact fossil fuel reserves (%):

The percentage of portfolio's market value exposed to companies that own high impact fossil fuel reserves. High impact fossil fuel reserves include thermal coal, oil sands, and shale oil and shale gas. Data provided by MSCI ESG Research LLC.

Exposure to environmental controversies (%):

The percentage of portfolio's market value exposed to companies facing one or more very severe environmental controversies related to energy & climate change, land use & biodiversity, toxic spills & releases, water stress, or operational waste. Data provided by MSCI ESG Research LLC.

Social characteristics

Exposure to human rights norms violation (%):

The percentage of portfolio's market value exposed to companies in violation of international norms around human rights. Data provided by MSCI ESG Research LLC.

Exposure to human rights controversies (%):

The percentage of portfolio's market value exposed to companies facing one or more very severe human rights and community controversies related to Impact on local communities, civil liberties, or human rights. Data provided by MSCI ESG Research LLC.

Exposure to labour controversies (%):

The percentage of portfolio's market value exposed to companies facing one or more very severe labour controversies related to child labour, collective bargaining, discrimination, health & safety, labour management, or supply chain labour standards. Data provided by MSCI ESG Research LLC.

Governance characteristics

Exposure to companies with no female directors (%):

The percentage of portfolio's market value exposed to companies with no female directors. Data provided by MSCI ESG Research LLC.

Exposure to companies with a majority of independent board members (%):

The percentage of portfolio's market value exposed to companies with board independence between 50%-100%. Data provided by MSCI ESG Research LLC.

Exposure to governance controversies (%):

The percentage of portfolio's market value exposed to companies facing one or more severe or very severe governance controversies related bribery, fraud, controversial investments, and governance structure. Data provided by MSCI ESG Research LLC.

Methodology – Contribution to SDGs

Description

This report highlights how portfolio companies - through their products and services - have positive and negative impact on a total of 15 sustainability objectives covering both Social and Environmental aspects. These objectives have been developed by ISS-ESG and closely aligned with the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals. The objectives include 7 Social and 8 Environmental objectives with scores ranging from -10 to +10. The results are then compared with the benchmark.

Please note that this report does not comment on the Governance aspect as we already report on such considerations in separate reports.

As the UN SDGs primarily target states and the public sector, not all goals are relevant for companies. For this reason, ISS-ESG defined a total of 15 sustainability objectives which are closely aligned with the SDGs. They are used to assess companies' product portfolios in terms of their contribution

towards sustainable development based on their revenue weight. For each individual objective, a qualitative analysis is conducted to determine whether a product or service category contributes to or refrain from attaining the objective. As a result, the positive and negative effects of different product groups may partly cancel each other out within a given objective.

Further information on ISS-ESG and the methodology is available [here](#).

List of the 15 overarching sustainable objectives

7 Social objectives

- Alleviating poverty
- Combating hunger and malnutrition
- Ensuring health
- Delivering education
- Attaining gender equality
- Providing basic services
- Safeguarding peace

8 Environmental objectives

- Achieving sustainable agriculture & forestry
- Conserving water
- Contributing to sustainable energy use
- Promoting sustainable buildings
- Optimising material use
- Mitigating climate change
- Preserving marine ecosystems
- Preserving terrestrial ecosystems

Please note that each portfolio and benchmark are assigned a score ranging from -10 to +10 based on the above 15 sustainable objectives. For the approach to be meaningful and sound we have assumed that the minimum coverage at the fund level should at least be 60%. This means that for a fund score to be meaningful at least 60% of its holdings need to have a score.

Complete list of SDGs:



UN Sustainability Development Goals

UN Sustainability Development Goals

Corresponding ISS-ESG Sustainability Objectives

	No poverty	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Alleviating poverty – Providing basic services (access aspect)
	Zero hunger	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Combating hunger and malnutrition – Achieving sustainable agriculture and forestry
	Good health and well-being	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Ensuring health – Providing basic services (access aspect)
	Quality education	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Delivering education – Providing basic services (access aspect)
	Gender equality	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Attaining gender equality
	Clean water and sanitation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Conserving water (quality and quantity aspect) – Ensuring health (sanitary aspect) – Providing basic services (access aspect)
	Affordable and clean energy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Contributing to sustainable energy use (clean aspect) – Providing basic services (access aspect)
	Decent work and economic growth	—
	Industry, innovation and infrastructure	—
	Reduced inequalities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Attaining gender equality – Providing basic services
	Sustainable cities and communities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Promoting sustainable buildings – Providing basic services (access aspect regarding housing, transportation)
	Responsible consumption and production	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Optimising material use
	Climate action	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Mitigating climate change – Contributing to sustainable energy use
	Life below water	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Preserving marine ecosystems
	Life on land	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Preserving terrestrial ecosystems – Achieving sustainable agriculture and forestry
	Peace, justice and strong institutions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Safeguarding peace
	Partnerships for the goals	—

Glossary

Active ownership

On behalf of its clients, NAM undertakes a range of engagement activities with companies, in order to affect and influence these to improve their environmental, social and governance practices, including promoting a long-term approach to decision-making. Our active ownership tools include voting, attending AGMs, standard setting, engagement with companies, filing resolutions etc. A detailed description of NAM's engagement processes can be found in the [NAM RI Policy](#).

Engagement

A form of active ownership. The practice of shareholders entering into a dialogue with the management of companies to change or influence the way in which the companies are run.

NAM's engagement activities can be divided into three different categories:

1. **Investment-led engagements:** Engagement on ESG-related risks or opportunities identified by portfolio managers and financial analysts via our company assessments.
2. **Norms- and incident-based engagement:** Engagement with companies breaching the international norms or conventions or companies having ESG related incidents.
3. **Thematic engagements:** Focuses on companies' exposure to specific sustainability themes in focus. We have identified 5 focus themes: biodiversity, climate, human rights, good governance, and water. We engage with these companies both individually and through collaborative engagements.

Enhanced exclusion filters and limits

Exclusions aim at limiting the investment exposure to certain sectors or activities that may be considered to be damaging for the environment and/or the society at large. Sector screenings assess a company's involvement in a specific activity measured by the revenue derived from this activity. Sector exclusions are the result of screenings based on the data and methodology of NAM's selected data vendors. Strategies are available with different exclusion filters including ethical filters targeting tobacco, alcohol, gaming, pornography etc. In addition, some products also feature targets or limits on carbon footprint/intensity relative to benchmark, targeted minimum ESG score or other exclusion lists like the so-called "NBIM list" of the Norwegian Government Pension Fund Global or the Carbon Underground 200 list.

Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG)

Environmental (E), Social (S), and Governance (G) refer to the three main areas of analysis in modern

responsible investment. ESG risks and opportunities are identified through careful analysis of a company's operations. Environmental criteria look at how a company performs as a steward of the natural environment. Social criteria examine for instance how a company manages relationships with its employees, suppliers, customers and the communities where it operates. Governance deals with a company's leadership, executive pay, audits, internal controls and shareholder rights.

ESG integration

The explicit inclusion of ESG risks and opportunities into traditional financial analysis and investment decisions based on a systematic process and appropriate research sources. This considers ESG factors alongside financial factors in the mainstream analysis of investments. The integration process focuses on the potential impact of ESG issues on company financials (positive and negative), which in turn may affect the investment decision.

ESG STARS strategies

The ESG STARS product range uses NAM's proprietary ESG scoring system and bespoke analysis carried out by the Responsible Investment team and financial analysts.

The strategies focus on selecting companies, not only with sound fundamentals, but also with high ESG scores. Using the SASB materiality map, company analysis includes enhanced due diligence on environmental, social and governance risks material to the company, and considers how companies manage their identified ESG risks. Furthermore, each company's business model alignment with the SDGs is taken into consideration, as the strategies' exposure aims to skew towards companies whose activities are net supportive or neutral, rather than detracting towards the SDGs. ESG scores are recalibrated regularly and at least annually, or if triggered by relevant negative or positive events. The ESG model sources data from several external data providers as input for the ESG score.

Exclusion list

NAM excludes companies involved in serious breaches of international norms, where engagement is deemed not to be possible or effective. For example, we ban investment in companies active in the production of controversial weapons, including – but not limited to – cluster munitions and anti-personnel mines, as well as nuclear weapons. NAM also does not invest in companies deriving more than 10% of their revenues from thermal coal, and excludes companies involved in the production fossil fuels with thresholds for revenues coming from oil sands (10%) or arctic drilling (5%). The NAM level exclusion list can be found [here](#).

Integration of Principal Adverse Impact (PAI)

The environmental and social impact of the activities of all NAM investee companies is assessed on an ongoing basis through our firm-level PAI integration. Companies identified as outliers on one or more PAI indicators, are analysed further which may result in a recommendation for action. NAM's disclosure statement on the integration of Principal Adverse Impact indicators can be found [here](#).

Norms-based screening

NAM's investment products are subject to norms-based screening, which identifies companies that are allegedly involved in breaches of international laws and norms on environmental protection, human rights, labour standards and anti-corruption. If a company is identified in this screening process, an internal assessment of the company and the incident is initiated. Typical actions can consist of engagement, quarantine or exclusion. For more information please refer to the [NAM RI Policy](#).

Paris Aligned Fossil Fuel Policy (PAFF)

In addition to the firm-wide exclusion list, a substantial and growing part of NAM's strategies is also subject to our Paris-Aligned Fossil Fuel Policy (PAFF), which sets thresholds for companies' exposure to fossil fuel production, distribution and services and excludes companies that are involved beyond these thresholds if they do not have a documented transition strategy that aligns with the Paris agreement. Funds for which the PAFF is not implemented as a hard exclusion criterion, the PAFF acts as guidance for engagement. PAFF criteria also inform the prioritisation of our top-down thematic engagements. The PAFF policy and list of Paris-aligned issuers can be found [here](#).

Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

The Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) are a collection of 17 global goals set by the United Nations General Assembly in 2015. The SDGs are part of Resolution 70/1 of the United Nations General "Transforming our World: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development". The goals are an urgent call for action by all countries – developed and emerging – in a global partnership. They recognise that ending poverty and other deprivations must go hand-in-hand with strategies that improve health and education, reduce inequality, and spur economic growth – all the while tackling climate change and working to preserve our oceans and forests.

Overview of Investment Strategy*

The fund applies a unique process to construct a portfolio of boring equities with stable returns based on an objective, computer driven, quantitative stock screening model. The process identifies companies that operate in established lines of business, generating consistently stable earnings, dividends, cash flows, etc.

This stability helps dampen price volatility of such companies stocks, making them less risky than the broader market. Actively managed. Benchmark used for performance comparison only. Risk characteristics of the fund's portfolio may bear some resemblance to those of the benchmark.

* There can be no warranty that an investment objective, targeted returns and results of an investment structure is achieved. The value of your investment can go up and down, and you could lose some or all of your invested money.

Although Nordea Investment Funds S.A.'s information providers, including without limitation, MSCI ESG Research LLC. and its affiliates (the "ESG Parties"), obtain information from sources they consider reliable, none of the ESG Parties warrants or guarantees the originality, accuracy and/or completeness of any data herein. None of the ESG Parties makes any express or implied warranties of any kind, and the ESG Parties hereby expressly disclaim all warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose, with respect to any data herein. None of the ESG Parties shall have any liability for any errors or omissions in connection with any data herein. Further, without limiting any of the foregoing, in no event shall any of the ESG Parties have any liability for any direct, indirect, special, punitive, consequential or any other damages (including lost profits) even if notified of the possibility of such damage.

The sub-funds mentioned are part of Nordea 1, SICAV, an open-ended Luxembourg-based investment company (Société d'Investissement à Capital Variable), validly formed and existing in accordance with the laws of Luxembourg and with European Council Directive 2009/65/EC of 13 July 2009. **This document is advertising material and does not disclose all relevant information concerning the presented sub-funds.** Any investment decision in the sub-funds should be made on the basis of the current prospectus and the Key Investor Information Document (KIID), which are available, along with the current annual and semi-annual reports, electronically in English and in the local language of the market where the mentioned SICAV is authorised for distribution, without charge upon request from Nordea Investment Funds S.A., 562, rue de Neudorf, P.O. Box 782, L-2017 Luxembourg, from the local representatives or information agents, or from our distributors as well as on www.nordea.lu. Investments in derivative and foreign exchange transactions may be subject to significant fluctuations which may affect the value of an investment. **Investments in Emerging Markets involve a higher element of risk. The value of shares can greatly fluctuate as a result of the sub-fund's investment policy and cannot be ensured. Investments in equity and debt instruments issued by banks could bear the risk of being subject to the bail-in mechanism (meaning that equity and debt instruments could be written down in order to ensure that most unsecured creditors of an institution bear appropriate losses) as foreseen in EU Directive 2014/59/EU. For further details of investment risks associated with these sub-funds, please refer to the relevant Key Investor Information Document (KIID), available as described above.** The investment promoted concerns the acquisition of units or shares in a sub-fund, not in any given underlying asset such as shares of a company, as these are only the underlying assets owned by the fund. Nordea Investment Funds S.A. has decided to bear the cost for research, i.e. such cost is covered by existing fee arrangements (Management-/Administration-Fee). Nordea Investment Funds S.A. only publishes product-related information and does not make any investment recommendations. A summary of investor rights is available in English through the following link: https://www.nordea.lu/documents/engagement-policy/EP_eng_INT.pdf/. Nordea Investment Funds S.A. may decide to terminate the arrangements made for the marketing of its sub-funds in any respective EU-country of distribution in accordance with Article 93a of Directive 2009/65/EC. Published by Nordea Investment Funds S.A., 562, rue de Neudorf, P.O. Box 782, L-2017 Luxembourg, which is authorized by the Commission de Surveillance du Secteur Financier in Luxembourg. Further information can be obtained from your financial advisor. He/ she can advise you independently of Nordea Investment Funds S.A. **Please note that all sub funds and share classes might not be available in your country of jurisdiction. Additional information for investors in Switzerland:** The Swiss Representative and Paying Agent is BNP Paribas Securities Services, Paris, Succursale de Zurich, Selnaustrasse 16, 8002 Zurich, Switzerland. **Additional information for investors in Germany:** The Information Agent in Germany is Société Générale S.A. Frankfurt Branch, Neue Mainzer Straße 46-50, 60311 Frankfurt am Main, Germany. A hard copy of the above-mentioned fund documentation is also available here. **Additional information for investors in Austria:** The Facility Agent in Austria is Erste Bank der österreichischen Sparkassen AG, Am Belvedere 1, 1100 Vienna, Austria. **Additional information for investors in the Netherlands:** Nordea 1, SICAV is a Luxembourg Undertaking for Collective Investment in Transferable Securities (UCITS) registered in the Netherlands in the register kept by the AFM, and as such is allowed to offer its shares in the Netherlands. The AFM register can be consulted via www.afm.nl/register. **Additional information for investors in France:** With the authorisation of the AMF the shares of the sub-funds of Nordea 1, SICAV may be distributed in France. Centralising Correspondent in France is CACEIS Bank, located at 1-3, place Valhubert, 75206 Paris cedex 13, France. **Investors are advised to conduct thorough research before making any investment decision. Additional information for investors in Belgium:** The Financial Service Agent in Belgium is BNP Paribas Securities Services S.C.A., Brussels Branch, Rue de Loxum, 25, 1000-Brussels, Belgium. A hard copy of the above-mentioned fund documentation is available upon demand free of charge. **Additional information for investors in Spain:** Nordea 1, SICAV is duly registered in the CNMV official registry of foreign collective investment institutions (entry no. 340) as authorised to be marketed to the public in Spain. The Depository of the SICAV's assets is, J.P. Morgan Bank Luxembourg S.A. In Spain, any investment must be made through the authorised distributors and on the basis of the information contained in the mandatory documentation that must be received from the SICAV's authorised distributor prior to any subscription. The Representative Agent is Allfunds Bank S.A.U., C/ de los Padres Dominicos, 7, 28050 Madrid, Spain. A complete list of the authorised distributors is available in the CNMV's webpage (www.cnmv.es). **Additional information for investors in Portugal:** The Management Company of the SICAV, Nordea Investment Funds S.A., and the Depository of the SICAV's assets, J.P. Morgan Bank Luxembourg S.A., are validly formed and existing in accordance with the laws of Luxembourg and authorized by the Commission de Surveillance du Secteur Financier in Luxembourg. The Paying Agent in Portugal is BEST - Banco Electrónico de Serviço Total, S.A., duly incorporated under the laws of Portugal and registered with the CMVM as a financial intermediary. **Additional information for investors in Italy:** Fund documentation as listed above is also available in Italy from the distributors and on the website www.nordea.it. The updated list of distribution agents in Italy, grouped by homogenous category, is available from the distributors themselves or from the Paying Agents: State Street Bank International GmbH – Succursale Italia, Allfunds Bank S.A.U. – Succursale di Milano, Société Générale Securities Services S.p.A., Banca Sella Holding S.p.A, Banca Monte dei Paschi di Siena S.p.A., CACEIS Bank S.A., Italian Branch and on the website www.nordea.it. Any requests for additional information should be sent to the distributors. **Before investing, please read the prospectus and the KIID carefully.** We recommend that you read the most recent annual financial statement in order to be better informed about the fund's investment policy. **The prospectus and KIID for the sub-funds have been published with Consob.** For the risk profile of the mentioned sub-funds, please refer to the fund prospectus. **Additional information for investors in the United Kingdom:** The Facilities Agent is FE Fundinfo (UK) Limited., 3rd Floor, Hollywood House, Church Street East, Woking GU21 6HJ, United Kingdom. **Additional information for investors in Ireland:** The Facilities Agent is Maples Fund Services (Ireland) Limited, 32 Molesworth Street, D02 Y512 Dublin 2, Ireland. **Additional information for investors in Sweden:** The Paying Agent is Nordea Bank Abp, Swedish Branch, Smålandsgatan 17, 105 71 Stockholm, Sweden. **Additional information for investors in Denmark:** The Representative Agent is Nordea Danmark, Filial af Nordea Bank Abp, Finland, Grønjørdsvej 10, Postbox 850 0900 CopenhagenC, Denmark. A hard copy of the above-mentioned fund documentation is available here. **Additional information for investors in Norway:** The Nordea 1, SICAV is registered in Norway. **Additional information for investors in Finland:** The Nordea 1, SICAV is registered in Finland. **Additional information for investors in Latvia:** The Representative Agent is Luminor Bank AS, 62 Skanstes iela 12, 1013 Riga, Latvia. **Additional information for investors in Estonia:** The Representative Agent in Estonia is Luminor Bank AS, Liivalaia 45, 10145 Tallinn, Estonia. **Additional information for investors in Lithuania:** The Representative Agent in Lithuania is Luminor Bank AB, Konstitucijos pr. 21 A, 03601 Vilnius, Lithuania. Shareholders must evaluate possible investment risks and take this into consideration when making investment decisions. **Additional information for investors in Brazil:** This is a strictly privileged and confidential document for the purposes of a potential investment in foreign securities on a one-on-one basis with potential investors with a pre-existing relationship with Nordea Investment Funds S.A. This document contains information addressed only to a specific individual and is not intended for distribution to, or use by, any other person. This document (i) is provided for informational purposes only, (ii) should not be construed in any manner as any solicitation or offer to buy or sell any securities or any related financial instruments, (iii) should not be construed in any manner as a public offer of any securities or any related financial instruments, and (iv) will be addressed to a potential investor with restrict access of information. Neither Nordea Investment Funds S.A. nor Nordea 1, SICAV have been, and will not be, registered with the Brazilian Securities Commission (Comissão de Valores Mobiliários - CVM). Nordea 1, SICAV must not be offered or sold in Brazil except in circumstances which do not constitute a public offering or distribution under Brazilian laws and regulations. Any public offering, placement or distribution, as defined under Brazilian laws and regulations, of securities in Brazil, is not legal without prior registration under Law No. 6,385 of December 7, 1976, as amended. Documents relating to the offering of the Nordea 1, SICAV, as well as information contained therein, must not be supplied to the general public in Brazil (as the offering of the Nordea 1, SICAV is not a public offering of securities in Brazil) or used in connection with any offer for subscription or sale of the Nordea 1, SICAV to the general public in Brazil. Investors within Brazil should consult with their own counsel as to the applicability of these laws and regulations or any exemption there from. **Additional information for investors in Canada:** For further information please refer to the [Canadian Information Statement](#), [Canadian Marketing Legend](#) and [Notification to Canadian Clients](#). Source (unless otherwise stated): Nordea Investment Funds S.A. Unless otherwise stated, all views expressed are those of Nordea Investment Funds S.A. This document may not be reproduced or circulated without prior permission and must not be passed to private investors. This document contains information only intended for professional investors and financial advisers and is not intended for general publication. **Reference to companies or other investments mentioned within this document should not be construed as a recommendation to the investor to buy or sell the same but is included for the purpose of illustration.** The level of tax benefits and liabilities will depend on individual circumstances and may be subject to change in the future.

